

**MINUTES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**

October 9, 2001

DIVISION ONE

B146393 Laudeman (Certified for Publication)
v.
Laudeman

The order modifying child support is reversed, and the cause is remanded to the trial court with directions to make such further orders as are necessary. Lisa is awarded her costs of appeal.

Vogel (Miriam A.), J.

We concur: Spencer, P.J.
Ortega, J.

B143319 Frazer (Not for Publication)
v.
Bersin et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Bersin is entitled to his costs of appeal.

Vogel (Miriam A.), J.

We concur: Spencer, P.J.
Ortega, J.

B143431 Benasra (Certified for Publication)
v.
Marciano et al.

The order is affirmed. Benasra is awarded his costs of appeal.

Vogel (Miriam A.), J.

We concur: Spencer, P.J.
Ortega, J.

DIVISION TWO

[illegible]

The judgment is affirmed.

Nott, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.
 Todd, J.

DIVISION FOUR

B149140 Los Angeles County, D.C.S. (Not for Publication)
v.
Clinton D.

The order is affirmed.

Epstein, Acting P.J.

We concur: Hastings, J.
Curry, J.

B146926 Los Angeles County, D.C.S. (Not for Publication)
v.
Rosa M.

The order of the court is affirmed.

Epstein, Acting P.J.

We concur: Hastings, J.
Curry, J.

DIVISION FIVE

Court convened at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Turner, P.J., Grignon, J., Armstrong, J. and J. Belcher, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B149543 Peo v. Gillin
B147417 Peo v. Vargas
B148663 Holland v. W.C.A.B. et al
B149963 Peo v. Gomez

Argument waived, cause submitted.

B143530 Newcourt Leasing Services
 v.
 Sultan Haddad

Merits:

Argued by Templeton Briggs for respondent. Appellant waived argument.
Cause submitted.

B124482 Foxgate Homeowners Association Inc.
 v.
 Bramalea California Inc.

Merits:

Argued by Ivan K. Stevenson for appellant and by James T. Perez for
respondent. Submission deferred to October 19, 2001.

B147122 Michael Zinzun
 v.
 Great Western Bank etc.

Merits:

Argued by Virginia Keeny for appellant and by Maren E. Nelson for
respondents. Cause submitted.

DIVISION FIVE (Continued)

Each of the following:

B147375 Peo v. Leavyn
B149123 Peo v. Rodriguez
B145790 Beacon v. Khemlani
B149000 Peo v. Navarro
B145934 Peo v. Turner
B147840 Peo v. Rush
B145447 Peo v. Kimbrough
B147066 Peo v. Eva M.
B149235 Peo v. Padilla
B151598 Rose R. v S.C.L.A.

Argument waived, cause submitted.

Court recessed at 10:15 a.m.

Court reconvened at 1:00 p.m.

Present: Turner, P.J., Armstrong, J., Willhite, J. (Assigned) and J. Belcher, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B137143 Ogner v Rusnak
B141323 Lanni v. Bright

Argument waived, cause submitted.

B147256 Ira Paul
 v.
 California Architect's Board

Merits:

Argued by Joshua Kaplan for appellant and by Robert S. Eisman, deputy attorney general, for respondent. Cause submitted.

DIVISION FIVE (Continued)

B149413 Bruce Froehlich et al.
v.
Heritage Escrow

Merits:

Argued by Jeffrey Huron for appellants and by Robert A. Lisnow for respondent. Cause submitted.

B139302 Knight Construction, Inc.
v.
R.N.P. Construction

Merits:

Argued by Charles W. Matheis for appellant and by Orly Degani for respondents. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned at 1:30 p.m.

DIVISION SIX

B145634 Harrison et al. (Not for Publication)
v.
Board of Retirement, Santa Barbara County

The judgment (order denying petition) is affirmed. The board is awarded costs on appeal.

Perren, J.

We concur: Yegan, Acting P.J.
Coffee, J.

DIVISION SIX (Continued)

B143133 Gamlowski (Not for Publication)

v.

Board of Retirement, etc.

The judgment (order denying petition) is affirmed. The Board is awarded costs on appeal.

Perren, J.

We concur: Yegan, Acting P.J.
Coffee, J.

DIVISION SEVEN

B141273 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Hernandez

The judgment is affirmed.

Johnson, J.

We concur: Lillie, P.J.
Woods, J.

B145089 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Gutierrez

The judgment is reversed and the cause is remanded for a new trial.

Johnson, J.

I concur: Lillie, P.J.
I dissent: Woods, J. (Opinion)

DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)

[illegible]

The judgment is modified: (1) to strike the order for direct victim restitution in the amount of \$2,000; (2) to impose a parole revocation fine of \$200 to remain suspended unless and until appellant violates parole; and (3) to strike the penalty assessment of \$340. The superior court is directed to order the correction of the abstract of judgment to reflect the imposition of the parole revocation fine and the removal of the direct victim restitution fine and the penalty assessment. The superior court is further directed to order the transmission of the amended abstract of judgment to the Department of Corrections. The judgment, as modified, is affirmed.

Woods, J.

We concur: Lillie, P.J.
Johnson, J.